UNITED S	TATES DISTRICT CO	URT
	DISTRICT OF NEW Y	ORK
SUSANA I		CASE NO.:
	Plaintiff,	CASE NO.:
-aga	ninst-	COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR
PROG LEASING, LLC,		JURY TRIAL
	Defendant.	
		X
		<u>COMPLAINT</u>
SUS	SANA LOZADA ("Plain	ntiff"), by and through her attorneys, KIMMEL &
SILVERMA	AN, P.C., alleges the follow	wing against PROG LEASING, LLC. ("Defendant"):
		INTRODUCTION
1.	Plaintiff's Complaint	is based on the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 4'
U.S.C. § 22	7, et seq. ("TCPA").	
	JURIS	SDICTION AND VENUE
2.	Jurisdiction of this Cou	art arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, which grants this cour
original juri	sdiction of all civil action	s arising under the laws of the United States. See Mims v
Arrow Fin.	Services, LLC, 132 S. Ct.	740, 747, 181 L. Ed. 2d 881 (2012).
3.	Defendant regularly of	conducts business in the State of New York therefore
personal jur	risdiction is established.	
4.	Venue is proper pursua	ant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).
	i r r	

1

2

4

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural persons residing in Bohemia, New York 11716.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "person" as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
- 7. Defendant is a corporation with its principal office located at 10619 S. Jordan Gateway, Suite 100, South Jordan, Utah 84095.
 - 8. Defendant is a "person" as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Plaintiff has a cellular telephone number that she has had for over a year.
- 11. Plaintiff has only used this number as a cellular telephone number.
- 12. The phone number has been assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls.
- 13. Beginning in or around December 2014, and continuing through April 2015, Defendant called Plaintiff on her cellular telephone on a repetitive and continuous basis.
- 14. Defendant's calls came from numbers including but not limited to (801) 424-7821 and (801) 424-7820.
- 15. When contacting Plaintiff on her cellular telephone, Defendant used an automatic telephone dialing system and automatic and/or pre-recorded messages.
 - 16. Defendant's telephone calls were not made for "emergency purposes."
- 17. While Plaintiff has an account with Defendant, she explicitly revoked any prior consent to call her cellular telephone in early December 2014.

18. Despite the above, Defendant persisted in calling Plaintiff on her cellular telephone for several months.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

- 19. Plaintiff incorporates the forgoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.
- 20. Defendant initiated multiple automated telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone using a prerecorded voice.
- 21. Defendant initiated these automated calls to Plaintiff using an automatic telephone dialing system.
 - 22. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff were not made for emergency purposes.
- 23. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff, in and after December 2014, were not made with Plaintiff's prior express consent.
- 24. Defendant's acts as described above were done with malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights under the law and with the purpose of harassing Plaintiff.
- 25. The acts and/or omissions of Defendant were done unfairly, unlawfully, intentionally, deceptively and fraudulently and absent bona fide error, lawful right, legal defense, legal justification or legal excuse.
- 26. As a result of the above violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff has suffered the losses and damages as set forth above entitling Plaintiff to an award of statutory, actual and trebles damages.

1	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, SUSANA LOZADA, respectfully prays for judgment as	
2	follows:	
3	a. Statutory damages of \$500.00 per violative telephone call pursuant to 47	
4	U.S.C. §227(b)(3)(B);	
5	b. Treble damages of \$1,500 per violative telephone call pursuant to 47 U.S.C	
6	§227(b)(3);	
7	c. Injunctive relief pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3); and	
8	d. Any other relief deemed fair and proper by this Honorable Court.	
9		
10	DEMAND FOR HIDS/TRIAL	
11	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
12	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, SUSANA LOZADA, demands a jury trial in	
13	this case.	
14 15		
16	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,	
17	Dated: May 15, 2015 KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.	
18	By: /s/ Craig Thor Kimmel	
19	Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney ID #2790038	
20	Attorney for Plaintiff 1001 Avenue of the Americas, 12 th Floor	
21	New York, New York 10018 Phone: (212) 719-7543	
22	Facsimile: (877) 617-2515 Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com	
23		
24		
25		